



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,715	10/516,715 12/02/2004		Joe Howard	P15322-US1	9914	
27045	7590	04/07/2006		EXAMINER		
ERICSSON	NINC.		LY, NGHI H			
6300 LEGA M/S EVR C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PLANO, T			2617			
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	App	plicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/516,715	HO/	WARD, JOE			
		Examiner	Art	Unit			
		Nghi H. Ly	261				
The MAILING DATE (Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the corres	pondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period wended period for reply will, by statute, r than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, vill apply and will expire SIX (, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely file (6) MONTHS from the ma	id diling date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				•			
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on <u>19 Ja</u>	nuary 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance	with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.	G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are 4a) Of the above clain 5) □ Claim(s) is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 1</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are obj 8) □ Claim(s) are s	n(s) is/are withdrave allowed. 5-20 is/are rejected. ected to.	vn from consideratio					
Application Papers							
• • • • •	n is/are: a) acce est that any objection to the heet(s) including the correct	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in a ion is required if the dr	abeyance. See 37 (awing(s) is objected	CFR 1.85(a). I to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTC)			rview Summary (PTO				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date				 Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11, 15, 16, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reich et al (US 2002/0184256 A1) in view of Matsumoto et al (US 6,711,264).

Regarding claims 11, 18 and 20, Reich teaches a method of controlling a network entity of a mobile communication network and a mobile station (see Abstract and see fig.1, wireless connection between mobile station 12 and network), wherein the network entity and the mobile station are adapted to conduct a plurality of predetermined message exchange procedures in the course of which predetermined messages are exchanged between the network entity and the mobile station depending on the given procedure (see Abstract, [006], and [0065], see "message"), where the predetermined messages may be encrypted (see [003], see "encryption"), an encrypted message being any message of which at least a part is encrypted (see [0064], see "encrypt"), and where the network entity and the mobile station are adapted to conduct one or more encryption key generation procedures during which the network entity and the mobile station generate and store respective corresponding encryption keys in order

to be able to encrypt and decrypt exchanged messages (see [0064], see "encrypt" and see Abstract, [006], and [0065], see "message"), the method comprises the steps of:

if the network entity receives a message from the mobile station, determining whether the received message is encrypted (see [0064], see "encrypt" and see Abstract, [006], and [0065], see "message").

Reich does not specifically disclose if the received message is encrypted, determining whether a correct encryption key for decrypting the message is available to the network entity and, if no correct key is available, sending a predetermined triggering message to the mobile station, and

upon receiving the predetermined triggering message, the mobile station interrupting the procedure in the course of which it sent the encrypted message for which the network entity did not have a correct key, and initiating an encryption key generation procedure.

Matsumoto teaches if the received message is encrypted (see column 2, lines 11-13, see "generating an encryption key", in order to generate an encryption key to decrypt the message, the teaching of Matsumoto inherently teaches "message is encrypted". In addition, column 2, lines 11-15, see "adapt to encrypt and decrypt communication contents"), determining whether a correct encryption key for decrypting the message is available to the network entity and, if no correct key is available (see column 2, lines 11-13, see "generating an encryption key at at least one of the communication devices", in order to generate an encryption key at at least one of the communication devices, the teaching of Matsumoto inherently teaches "if no correct key

is available", "to the network"), sending a predetermined triggering message to the mobile station (see column 2, lines 15-22, see "<u>requesting</u> the encryption key" or "upon receiving the <u>request</u>". The "request" or "requesting" reads on Applicant's "triggering message"), and

upon receiving the predetermined triggering message (column 2, lines 15-22, see "upon receiving the request". The "request" or "requesting" reads on Applicant's "triggering message"), the mobile station interrupting the procedure in the course of which it sent the encrypted message for which the network entity did not have a correct key (see column 2, lines 11-13, see "generating an encryption key at at least one of the communication devices", in order to generate an encryption key at the communication devices, the teaching of Matsumoto inherently teaches "the network entity did not have a correct key", or if the network already had the key, the communication devices of Matsumoto does not need to generate a key), and initiating an encryption key generation procedure (column 2, lines 19-22, see "generated encryption key").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Matsumoto into the system of Reich in order to improve security of communications (see Matsumoto, column 1, lines 7-9).

Regarding claim 15, Reich further teaches the one or more encryption key generation procedures comprise obtaining an encryption base value commonly available to the network entity and the mobile station at the time of conducting the encryption key generation procedure, and generating corresponding encryption keys in

the network entity and the mobile station on the basis of the encryption base value (see [0064] and [0066]) or (see Matsumoto, column 2, lines 11-25, see "generated encryption" key").

Page 5

Regarding claim 16, Reich further teaches the encryption base value is a regularly changed value that is broadcast by the network to listening mobile stations (see [0064] and [0066]).

3. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reich et al (US 2002/0184256 A1) in view of Matsumoto et al (US 6,711,264) and further in view of Pang et al (US 6,931,543).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Reich and Matsumoto teaches claim 11. The combination of Reich and Matsumoto does not specifically disclose the messages are arranged such that they have a first part and a second part, the first part being an unencrypted part that is not allowed to be encrypted, and the second part being encryptable.

Pang teaches the messages are arranged such that they have a first part and a second part, the first part being an unencrypted part that is not allowed to be encrypted. and the second part being encryptable (see column 4, lines 10-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Pang into the system of Reich and Matsumoto in order to prevent data from being loss (see Pang, column 2, lines 33-34).

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Reich and Matsumoto teaches claim 11. The combination of Reich and Matsumoto does not specifically disclose the messages are arranged such that the first part contains an encryption indication of whether the second part is encrypted or not, and the determining of whether the second part of the received message is encrypted or not is achieved by analysing the encryption indication.

Pang teaches the messages are arranged such that the first part contains an encryption indication of whether the second part is encrypted or not, and the determining of whether the second part of the received message is encrypted or not is achieved by analysing the encryption indication (see column 4, lines 10-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Pang into the system of Reich and Matsumoto in order to prevent data loss (see Pang, column 2, lines 33-34).

4. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reich et al (US 2002/0184256 A1) in view of Matsumoto et al (US 6,711,264) and further in view of D'Amico et al (US 5,077,790).

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Reich and Matsumoto teaches claim 11.

The combination of Reich and Matsumoto does not specifically disclose the encryption conducted as a part of a registration procedure of the key generation procedure is mobile station with the network entity.

D'Amico teaches the encryption conducted as a part of a registration procedure of the key generation procedure is mobile station with the network entity (see column 1, lines 65 to column 2, line 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of D'Amico into the system of Reich and Matsumoto in order to a method for registration of a portable unit maybe utilized in a communication system the comprises a network controller (see D'Amico, column 1, lines 41-43).

Regarding claim 19, the combination of Reich and Matsumoto teaches claim 18.

The combination of Reich and Matsumoto does not specifically disclose the controller is arranged to conduct the encryption key generation procedure as a part of a registration procedure of the mobile station with the mobile communication network.

D'Amico teaches the controller is arranged to conduct the encryption key generation procedure as a part of a registration procedure of the mobile station with the mobile communication network (see column 1, lines 65 to column 2, line 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of D'Amico into the system of Reich and Matsumoto in order to a method for registration of a portable unit maybe utilized in a communication system the comprises a network controller (see D'Amico, column 1, lines 41-43).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 14, claim 14 is objected for the reasons as stated in previous Office action, page 7 (dated 10/19/05).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,715

Art Unit: 2617

Page 9

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

TEMICA BEAMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

3/30/04